

Science, Technology and Innovation

Review form

Please fill out the following questionnaire for the reviewed work.

I. Detailed assessment of the work

1. Does the paper present a current state of knowledge?
 - Yes
 - Partially
 - No
2. Has the text been prepared carefully, in an exhaustive, logical and understandable way?
 - Yes
 - Not fully
 - No
3. Does the work contain factual errors?
 - Yes
 - No
4. Is the terminology used in work consistent with generally accepted practice in the field?
 - Yes
 - Not fully
 - No
5. Does the text of the work correspond to the Polish/English language standards?
 - Yes
 - No
6. Does the summary correspond to the content of the work?
 - Yes, it is relevant and precise
 - Yes, but it is too general
 - No
7. Is the literature correctly cited in the text?
 - Yes
 - No
8. Has current references been included?
 - Yes
 - No
9. Are the tables in the text understandable?
 - Yes
 - No
 - No tables available
10. Is the number of tables appropriate?
 - Yes
 - There are too many of them
 - No tables available
11. Are the figures attached to the work legible?
 - Yes
 - No
 - No figures available
12. Is the number of figures appropriate?
 - Yes
 - There are too many of them

- No figures available

II. Justification of the assessment

Please provide a brief explanation of the assessment together with detailed comments that may help the author improve his work.

III. Overall assessment of the work

1. Scoring:

- 1 – very weak
- 2 – weak
- 3 – average
- 4 – good
- 5 – very good

2. Reviewer's conclusion:

- The article is suitable for publishing in its current form.
- The article may be published after taking into account the reviewer's comments (minor changes).
- The article must be completely rewritten (major changes).
- The article is not suitable for publication.
- The subject of the article is incompatible with the journal profile.

3. Does the reviewer want to re-submit the work?

- Yes
- No