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**Review form**

*Please fill out the following questionnaire for the reviewed work*.

**I. Detailed assessment of the work**

1. Does the paper present a current state of knowledge?
* Yes
* Partially
* No
1. Has the text been prepared carefully, in an exhaustive, logical and understandable way?
* Yes
* Not fully
* No
1. Does the work contain factual errors?
* Yes
* No
1. Is the terminology used in work consistent with generally accepted practice in the field?
* Yes
* Not fully
* No
1. Does the text of the work correspond to the Polish/English language standards?
* Yes
* No
1. Does the summary correspond to the content of the work?
* Yes, it is relevant and precise
* Yes, but it is too general
* No
1. Is the literature correctly cited in the text?
* Yes
* No
1. Has current references been included?
* Yes
* No
1. Are the tables in the text understandable?
* Yes
* No
* No tables available
1. Is the number of tables appropriate?
* Yes
* There are too many of them
* No tables available
1. Are the figures attached to the work legible?
* Yes
* No
* No figures available
1. Is the number of figures appropriate?
* Yes
* There are too many of them
* No figures available

**II. Justification of the assessment**

*Please provide a brief explanation of the assessment together with detailed comments that may help the author improve his work.*

**III. Overall assessment of the work**

1. Scoring:

* 1 – very weak
* 2 – weak
* 3 – average
* 4 – good
* 5 – very good

2. Reviewer’s conclusion:

* **The article is suitable for publishing in its current form.**
* **The article may be published after taking into account the reviewer’s comments (minor changes).**
* **The article must be completely rewritten (major changes).**
* **The article is not suitable for publication.**
* **The subject of the article is incompatible with the journal profile.**

3. Does the reviewer want to re-submit the work?

* Yes
* No