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## Abstract

Let $X$ be a commutative algebra with unity $e$ and let $D$ be a derivative on $X$ that means the Leibniz rule is satised: $D(f g)=D(f) g+f D(g)$. If $D^{(k)}$ is $k$-th iteration of $D$ then we prove that the following identity holds for any positive integer $k$

$$
\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} f^{j} D^{(m)}\left(g f^{k-j}\right)=\Phi_{k, m}(g, f)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0,0 \leq m<k \\
g D(f)^{k}, k=m
\end{array}\right.
$$

As an application we prove a sharp version of Bernstein's inequality for trigonometric polynomials.
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## 1. An identity

Let $X$ be a commutative algebra with unity $e$ and let $D$ be a derivative on $X$ that means the Leibniz rule is satisfied: $D(f \cdot g)=D(f) \cdot g+f \cdot D(g)$. If $D^{(k)}$ is $k$-th iteration of $D$ (with $D^{(0)}(f)=f$ ) then we define for $f, g \in X$

$$
\Phi_{k, m}(g, f):=\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} f^{j} D^{(m)}\left(g f^{k-j}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check the following properties of $\Phi_{k, m}$.

## Proposition 1.1.

- $\Phi_{0, m}(g, f)=D^{(m)}(g)$ for $m \geq 0$
- Key observation:

$$
\Phi_{k, 0}(g, f)=0, k \geq 1
$$

- Basic recurrence:

$$
\Phi_{k, m}(g, f)=D(f) \Phi_{k-1, m-1}(g, f)+D\left(\Phi_{k, m-1}(g, f)\right) .
$$

Proof. Only the recurrence is not clear. To see it, let us calculate $D\left(\Phi_{k, m-1}(g, f)\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
D\left(\Phi_{k, m-1}(g, f)\right)=\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} D\left(f^{j} D^{(m-1)}\left(g f^{k-j}\right)\right) \\
=\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j}\left(j f^{j-1} D(f) D^{(m-1)}\left(g f^{k-j}\right)+f^{j} D^{(m)}\left(g f^{k-j}\right)\right) \\
=\Phi_{k, m}(g, f)+D(f) \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} \frac{j}{k} f^{j-1} D^{(m-1)}\left(g f^{k-j}\right) \\
=\Phi_{k, m}(g, f)-D(f) \Phi_{k-1, m-1}(g, f),
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]which is equivalent to the recurrence formula.
Theorem 1.2. Let $D: X \longrightarrow X$ be a derivation $(D(g \cdot f)=g \cdot D(f)+$ $D(g) \cdot f$ ) on a commutative algebra $X$, then for any positive integer $k$ we have
\[

\Phi_{k, m}(g, f)=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
0,0 \leq m<k \\
g \cdot D(f)^{k}, \quad k=m
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

Proof. (Induction with respect to $k$ ).

1. $k=1 m=0$

$$
(\mathcal{L})=e \cdot g \cdot f-f \cdot g=0=(\mathcal{R})
$$

$$
m=1
$$

$$
(\mathcal{L})=D(g \cdot f)-f \cdot D(g)=g \cdot D(f)=(\mathcal{R})
$$

Remark 1.3. Those particular cases show, that Leibniz condition is necessary to hold the theorem $(k=1, m=1)$ and commutative assumption is equivalent to the case $k=1, m=0$.
2. Thm. $(k-1) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Thm} .(k)$ for $k \geq 2$.

To do this, we shall prove by induction with respect to $m$, the formula for $0 \leq m \leq k$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m=0 \\
& \qquad(\mathcal{L})=g f^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} e=0=(\mathcal{R}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thm. $(k, m) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Thm} .(k, m+1)$ with $0 \leq m \leq k-1$.
We have
$(\mathcal{L})=\Phi_{k, m+1}(g, f)=D(f) \Phi_{k-1, m}(g, f)+D\left(\Phi_{k, m}(g, f)\right)=0=(\mathcal{R})$
for $0 \leq m \leq k-2$. If $m=k-1$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\mathcal{L})=\Phi_{k, k}(g, f)=D(f) \Phi_{k-1, k-1}(g, f)+D\left(\Phi_{k, k-1}(g, f)\right) \\
=D(f) g D(f)^{k-1}+0=g D(f)^{k}=(\mathcal{R})
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof is finished.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.2 has been presented, without a proof, in [5]. A knowledge of this result was a motivation to find its generalization by U . Abel [1], where paper [5] and thus Theorem 1.2 was noticed.

If we take $g=e$ then we obtain the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(f)^{k}=\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{k}{j} f^{j} D^{(k)}\left(f^{k-j}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was found in the case of polynomials of one variable and usual derivative operator by Beata Milówka [9] and, in the general case, by P. Ozorka in his PhD thesis (cf. informations in [5],[1]. The proof presented now is much simpler than original proofs by B. Milówka and P. Ozorka.) Later it was found by M. Baran that those identities were known earlier (cf. [8]) but nobody has applied them to polynomial inequalities as it was made by B. Milówka and P. Ozorka. It seems that it is a future for further applications of this type identities.

## 2. An application

We shall prove, as an application of Theorem 1.2, that there exists a constant $B$ such that for any trigonometric polynomial $T$ of degre $N$ we have Bernstein's type inequality $\left\|T^{\prime}\right\| \leq B N\|T\|$. This is a sharp with respect to the exponent of $N$ in this bound but the exact inequality holds with $B=1$. There is known that S.N. Bernstein has obtained his bound with $B=2$. We show, that we can take $B=2 e$.

A trigonometric polynomial $T$ of degree $N$ has a form

$$
T(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(a_{j} \cos (j t)+b_{j} \sin (j t)\right), \| T| |=\max _{|t| \leq \pi}|T(t)|
$$

Everybody knows that $a_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T(x) d x, a_{j}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T(x) \cos (j x) d x, b_{j}=$ $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} T(x) \sin (j x) d x, j \geq 1$. Moreover $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|T(x)|^{2} d x=2\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}+\right.$ $\left|b_{j}\right|^{2}$ ). It is clear that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|T^{(k)}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|T^{(k)}(x)\right|^{2} d x=\sum_{j=1}^{N} j^{2 k}\left(\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{j}\right|^{2}\right) \\
\leq N^{2 k} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{j}\right|^{2}\right)=N^{2 k}| | T \|_{2}^{2} \\
\|T\| \leq\left|a_{0}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\left|a_{j}\right|+\left|b_{j}\right|\right) \leq(2 N+1)^{1 / 2}\left(2\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}+\left|b_{j}\right|^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
=(2 N+1)^{1 / 2}\|T\|_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, applying Theorem 1.2, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{\prime}\right\|^{k} \leq & \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}\|T\|^{j}\left\|\left(T^{k-j}\right)^{(k)}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}\|T\|^{j}(2 N(k-j)+1)^{1 / 2}\left\|\left(T^{k-j}\right)^{(k)}\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}\|T\|^{j}(2 N(k-j)+1)^{1 / 2}(N(k-j))^{k}\left\|\left(T^{k-j}\right)\right\|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\leq \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j}\|T\|^{j}(2 N(k-j)+1)^{1 / 2}(N(k-j))^{k}\|T\|^{k-j} \\
=2 N^{k+1 / 2} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\binom{k}{j}((k-j)+1 / 2 N)^{1 / 2}(k-j)^{k}\|T\|^{k} \\
\leq N^{k+1 / 2} \frac{1}{k!} 2^{k+1} k^{k}(k+1 / 2)^{1 / 2}\|T\|^{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

which gives $\left\|T^{\prime}\right\| \leq 2\left(2(k+1 / 2)^{1 / 2} k^{k} / k!\right)^{1 / k} N^{1+1 / 2 k}\|T\|$ and letting $k \rightarrow$ $\infty$ we get inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{\prime}\right\| \leq 2 e N\|T\| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an application, applying a method from [2] (cf. also [3]), we get three bounds for algebraic polynomials:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|P^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq 2 e(\operatorname{deg} P)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\|P\|_{[-1,1]}, t \in(-1,1)  \tag{2.2}\\
|P(t)| \leq 2 e(\operatorname{deg} P+1)\left\|P(t) \sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right\|_{[-1,1]}  \tag{2.3}\\
\left\|\left.P^{\prime}\right|_{[-1,1]} \leq 4 e^{2}(\operatorname{deg} P)^{2}\right\| P \|_{[-1,1]} \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The last one is Markov's inequality with sharp Markov's exponent 2 (cf. [4]). In the exact inequality exponent $4 e^{2}$ is replaced by 1 . Markov's inequality with constant $e^{2}$ was also showed in [6] by a similar method, where Milówka version of (1.1) was used.
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